



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,765	04/04/2001	Mary Dykstra Havlicek	016499-526	1454 9
7590 10/02/2003			EXAMINER	
E. Joseph Gess, Esq. BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s) 824765 Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 1754			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—			
Period for Reply	~7			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat				
Startus Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14-03			
This action is FIMAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) (-2	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)				
Application Papers	requirement			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u 	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	•			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents				
in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Atta hment(s)	•			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	s) Int priory Summon, BTO 412			
	•			
□ Notice of Referenc (s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing R view, PTO-948	□ Oth r			
Office Action Summary				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-329 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/824,765

Art Unit 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under Section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Saito et al. Saito et al. disclose an adsorbent for removal of undissociable organic impurities from hydrogen peroxide solutions at column 3, lines 47-53. Saito et al. teach

Serial No. 09/824,765 Art Unit 1754

at such passage that a porous resin made of a styrene-divinyl benzene copolymer having no ion exchange ability and which is an organic adsorbent inert to an aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide is especially preferred. There is no evidence on record which would establish that the adsorbent resin and method of use thereof as recited in applicant's claims 11-24 would necessarily be novel and unobvious over the adsorbent disclosed at column 3, lines 47-53 of Saito et al. Saito et al. specifically teach at column 3, lines 51-53 that the organic adsorbent is <u>inert</u> to the aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide. Accordingly it would be expected that the organic adsorbent of Saito et al. would not contain any transition metal or other impurities, since Saito et al. discloses that the adsorbent is "inert" to the aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide. It is further noted that Saito et al. disclose at column 5, lines 49-53 that decomposition of an aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide does not occur when employing the synthetic adsorbent. Accordingly such adsorbent would not contain any transition metal or other impurities, since otherwise decomposition of the aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide would occur.

Claims 1-10 are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. Serial No. 09/824,765

Art Unit 1754

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (703) 308-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Serial No. 09/824,765

Art Unit 1754

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

WAL:cdc

September 30, 2003

Mayne A. LANGEL
WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER